

Solutions to Selected Problems from West, Installment One

- 1.2.17 Let G be the graph whose vertex set is the set of permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, with two permutations a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_n adjacent if they differ by interchanging a pair of adjacent entries. Prove that G is connected.

Proof: Let $x = a_1, \dots, a_n$ and $y = b_1, \dots, b_n$ be vertices in G . Since x and y are permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, it follows that $y = x\phi$, where $\phi: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ is a bijection. By a standard result from the lore of permutations, ϕ can be factored as a product of transpositions (pair interchanges); if k is the number of transpositions in the factorization of ϕ , then there is a path of length k connecting A to B . Thus G is connected. \square

- 1.2.18 Let $G = (V, E)$, where $V = \{0, 1\}^k$ and $xy \in E$ iff $H(x, y) = 2$, where $H(x, y)$ is the number of bits in which they disagree. How many components does G have?

Solution: Two. We discussed this one in class.

- 1.2.41 Let G be a connected graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices. Prove that G contains vertices x, y such that

- (a) $G - \{x, y\}$ is connected, and
- (b) $d(x, y) \leq 2$.

Proof: Let P be a path in G of longest length; let x be an endpoint of P , with predecessor (in a traversal of P ending at x) w . If $N(w) \subseteq V(P)$, let $y = w$. If not, let $y \in N(w) - V(P)$. Clearly, in the latter case, $N(y) \subseteq V(P)$, since otherwise we have a contradiction to our choice of P . The result follows. \square

- 1.3.9 A baseball league has two divisions, each with 13 teams. Is it possible to schedule a season in which each team plays nine games within its division and four games against the other division?

Solution: Nope. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that we could arrange such a schedule. Construct a graph $G = (V, E)$, where V consists of the 26 teams and $xy \in E$ iff there is a game in which x plays y . Consider the subgraph H of G induced by either of the divisions. H is 9-regular on 13 vertices, but this is impossible. \square

- 1.3.17 Let G be a graph with at least two vertices. Prove or disprove:

- (a) Deleting a vertex of degree $\Delta(G)$ cannot increase the average degree.
- (b) Deleting a vertex of degree $\delta(G)$ cannot decrease the average degree.

Proof: (a) Let v be a vertex of degree $\Delta(G)$. The average degree in G is $\frac{2e}{n}$, while the average degree in $G - v$ is $\frac{2e - \Delta}{n - 1}$. Since $n\Delta \geq 2e$, then $2ne - n\Delta \leq 2ne - 2e$, but then $\frac{2e - \Delta}{n - 1} \leq \frac{2e}{n}$. A similar argument proves (b). \square

1.3.23 Use induction to prove that the number of edges in Q_k is $k2^{k-1}$.

Proof: The result holds for $k = 1$, i.e., $1(2^0) = 1$. Assume that Q_{k-1} contains $(k - 1)2^{k-2}$ edges. Consider Q_k . We know that Q_k comprises two copies of Q_{k-1} together with 2^{k-1} additional edges. It follows that the number of edges in Q_k is

$$\begin{aligned} 2e(Q_{k-1}) + 2^{k-1} &= 2(k - 1)2^{k-2} + 2^{k-1} \\ &= k2^{k-1} - 2^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} \\ &= k2^{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is what we needed to show. \square

1.3.47 Use induction on $n(G)$ to prove that every nontrivial loopless graph G has a bipartite subgraph H such that H has more than $e(G)/2$ edges.

Proof: K_2 is itself bipartite. Let G be a graph with k vertices, and assume that the result holds for graphs of smaller order. Let $v \in V(G)$. By the induction hypothesis, $G - v$ contains a bipartite subgraph $H' = (X, Y, F)$ containing more than $\frac{e(G - v)}{2}$ edges. If $N_G(v) \cap X > N_G(v) \cap Y$, add v to Y ; otherwise add v to X to obtain the desired graph H . \square

1.4.9 True or false: Every simple digraph either has two vertices with the same indegree or two vertices with the same outdegree.

Counterexample: Let $G = (V, E)$, where $V = \{a, b, c\}$ and $E = \{ab, ac, bc\}$. This is known as a transitive triple, for obvious reasons, and is an instance of a transitive tournament. Similar orientations of K_n are easily constructed for any choice of n . (Thanks to Pat Vincent for pointing out the folly of my previous “proof”, which has assumed its rightful place in the bit bucket.)

1.4.10 Prove that a digraph $G = (V, E)$ is strongly connected iff for every nontrivial partition of V as $V = S + T$ there is an edge from S to T .

Proof: First suppose that G is strongly connected. Let $V = S + T$ be any nontrivial partition of V . Let $x \in S, y \in T$. Since G is strongly connected, there is an x, y -path P in G . In a traversal of P , let z be the first vertex encountered in T , and let w be its

predecessor on P . Clearly $w \in S$, and wz is the edge we want. Now suppose that G is not strongly connected. Choose x, y such that there is no x, y -path in G . Let $S = \{v \mid x \text{ reaches } v\}$, and let $T = V - S$. Note that $T \neq \emptyset$, since $y \in T$. Moreover, since x reaches every vertex in S but no vertex in T , there is no edge from S to T . \square

- 1.4.23 Prove that every graph G has an orientation D that is “balanced” at each vertex, i.e., that $|d_D^+(v) - d_D^-(v)| \leq 1$ for every $v \in V$.

Solution: There is an easy proof and a less-easy proof. I’ll do them in that order.

Proof: (This is the easy one.) If G is Eulerian, then we’re done: given $v \in V$, an Eulerian tour enters and leaves v an equal number of times, so if we orient the edges of G according to the directions taken on such a tour, we have $d_D^+(v) = d_D^-(v)$ for all $v \in V$. If not, then by the degree-sum theorem we know that there is an even number, say $2k$, of odd vertices in G . We can use k temporary edges to join pairs of odd vertices. The resulting graph, say G' , is now Eulerian, and we proceed as before. Since $d_D^+(v) = d_D^-(v)$ for all v in G' , it follows that when we erase the temporary edges we have $|d_D^+(v) - d_D^-(v)| \leq 1$ for all $v \in V$ in our orientation of G . \square

Proof: (The other one) Let G be a connected graph. If G is Eulerian, then we’re done: given $v \in V$, an Eulerian tour enters and leaves v an equal number of times, so if we orient the edges of G according to the directions taken on such a tour, we have $d_D^+(v) = d_D^-(v)$ for all $v \in V$. Assume, then, that G is not Eulerian. We proceed by induction on E . Clearly K_1 and K_2 possess orientations D satisfying the desired inequality. Assume that G has $|E| > 1$ edges, and assume that all graphs with fewer edges possess orientations satisfying the inequality. There are two cases. First suppose that G has an edge $e = uv$ joining two odd vertices. By the induction hypothesis, we can let D be a balanced orientation of $G - e$. Note that, in $G - e$, both u and v are even vertices, so it must be that $d_D^+(u) = d_D^-(u)$ and $d_D^+(v) = d_D^-(v)$. We can then orient e in either direction to obtain an orientation of G , and the inequality still holds. Finally, suppose that no such edge exists. Then (since G is not Eulerian) every edge of G joins an even vertex to an odd vertex. Let $e = uv$ be such an edge; without loss of generality assume that u is odd. It follows that in $G - e$, u is even and v is odd. Once again, we apply the induction hypothesis to $G - e$ to obtain an orientation D . Since u is even, we know that $d_D^+(u) = d_D^-(u)$, so our eventual orientation of e won’t cause trouble at u . But v is odd, so $|d_D^+(v) - d_D^-(v)| = 1$. If $d_D^+(v) > d_D^-(v)$, we orient e in the direction $u \rightarrow v$, while if $d_D^+(v) < d_D^-(v)$ we use the opposite orientation. In either case, joining u and v using the oriented e completes a balanced orientation of G . \square